P.R. No. 26026491/6
Presented by Shri Basant Kurrey
dated 12/5/06

(1) C-8-100/ (3)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

W.P. No. 3302 /2006

Petitioner: Jalkunwar, Wd/o Late Sri Shyamlal Nishad, aged about 60 years, Caste-Kevat, R/o Village-Dudga, Tahsil-Kathghora, District-Korba

Versus

Respondents:

1. State of C.G., through Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, DKS Bhawan, Raipur.
2. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Korba.
3. Collector (Tribal Welfare Dept.), Korba.
4. Sub Divisional Educational Officer, Tribal Welfare, Kathghora, District-Korba.
5. The Accountant General, Chhattisgarh, Raipur. (C.G)
6. Sr. Accounts Officer, Office of Accountant General, Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G).

RECEIVED COPY
12/5/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(33)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.No.2302/06... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

7.7.2006

Heard Shri Ratan Pusty, for the petitioner.

Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Adv. for the State on advance copy.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing Counsel for Union of India on advance copy.

The case of the petitioner is that her husband Lt. Shyamlal Nishad, who was working as Assistant Teacher in a school run by the Tribal Welfare Department, has sought voluntary retirement on 24.12.1995 and as per record he was entitled for Rs.17,647/- towards GPF, whereas, the Accountant General Officer, Gwalior has sanctioned only Rs.3,412/- towards GPF.

Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's husband was entitled for Rs.17,647/- towards GPF amount but same has not been sanctioned by the respondents, therefore, respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate order regarding final payment of GPF amount. He further submits that the petitioner has already made representation (Annexure P-9), but the petitioner may be allowed to make a fresh representation to the respondents, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be fair, just and reasonable,

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a fresh representation to respondent No.2 within 15 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to get the case of Late Shyamlal Nishad examined regarding entitlement of GPF amount within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the representation and thereafter forward the case of the petitioner to respondent No.5 regarding entitlement of GPF amount and respondent No.5 to pass order regarding payment of GPF amount to the petitioner within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the communication from respondent No.2. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 2540/2006 also stands disposed of Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)

12-7-16 7/9/07